1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JOSEPH A. MANDOUR, III (SBN 188896) BEN T. LILA (SBN 246808) MANDOUR & ASSOCIATES, APC 16870 West Bernardo Drive, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92127 Telephone: (858) 487-9300 Facsimile: (858) 487-9390 Email: jmandour@mandourlaw.com  Attorneys for plaintiff, BUMBLE BEE FOODS, LLC   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA    DIMBLE BEE FOODS, LLC, a Delaware   Civil Case No. 11CV1662 BTM POR				
19	Defendant.				
20					
21					
22	Plaintiff BUMBLE BEE FOODS, LLC (hereinafter "plaintiff" or "BUMBLE BEE"), by				
23	and through its counsel, alleges against defendant LAMONICA FINE FOODS, LLC				
24	(hereinafter "defendant" or "LAMONICA") as follows:				
25	NATURE OF THE ACTION				
26	This is an action for trademark infringement and unfair competition under the Lanham				
27	Trademark Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, et seq., and related claims of unfair competition under the				
28	common law of the State of California.				

# 2

4

5

67

8

9 10

11

1213

1415

16

1718

19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

#### **THE PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiff BUMBLE BEE FOODS, LLC is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the state of Delaware with an address at 9655 Granite Ridge Drive, # 100, San Diego, CA 92123.
- 2. On information and belief, defendant LAMONICA FINE FOODS, LLC is or purports to be a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey with an address at 48 Gorton Road, Millville, NJ 08332.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) and/or pendent or ancillary jurisdiction for all related claims.
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over LAMONICA because, on information and belief, LAMONICA transacts business in the Southern District of California. Further, on information and belief, the defendant systematically and continuously directs business activities toward and into the Southern District of California through advertisements, promotions, sales, and through a publicly accessible online website.
- 5. Venue is proper and reasonable in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this claim herein occurred in this district.

#### **FACTS**

- 6. BUMBLE BEE is a seafood company founded in 1899. It produces a number of well known seafood products including Bumble Bee Tuna and Bumble Bee Clams. BUMBLE BEE, together with its affiliates, is the largest branded shelf-stable seafood company in North America.
- 7. BUMBLE BEE'S products have been promoted and sold in numerous marketing venues and channels, including without limitation in groceries stores nationwide, retail stores, in magazines, on television, on the Internet and by diverse other means.
- 8. BUMBLE BEE produces a number unique and distinctive packaging for its products, including without limitation its "Bumble Bee Chopped Clams" and "Bumble Bee

Minced Clams" packaging (the "Bumble Bee Trade Dress"). Exemplary photos of BUMBLE BEE'S packaging comprising the Bumble Bee Trade Dress are attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

- 9. The Bumble Bee Trade Dress is a strong, fanciful, inherently distinctive and famous trademark and a source identifier for BUMBLE BEE. The Bumble Bee Trade Dress is well known to consumers as being associated with BUMBLE BEE and the BUMBLE BEE® trademark and has developed secondary meaning. The Bumble Bee Trade Dress is non-functional.
- 10. BUMBLE BEE has used the Bumble Bee Trade Dress continuously in interstate commerce at least as early as 2001.
- 11. Sometime after the Bumble Bee Trade Dress became well known and famous LAMONICA adopted a similar trade dress for its products under the name CAPE MAY ("LAMONICA'S Packaging"). Exemplary photos of LAMONICA'S Packaging adopting and copying the Bumble Bee Trade Dress are attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.
- 12. LAMONICA'S Packaging adopts an identical color scheme, layout and overall design as the Bumble Bee Trade Dress.
- 13. Specifically, LAMONICA'S Packaging contains the same overall yellow color scheme, blue bordering, red accents, red star shape and white font as the Bumble Bee Trade Dress.
- 14. Moreover LAMONICA'S Packaging is used for products identical to BUMBLE BEE'S, namely chopped clams and minced clams. LAMONICA'S Packaging comprises the same essential size, shape and product description as the packaging employed by BUMBLE BEE.
- 15. LAMONICA'S Packaging is likely to cause confusion among consumers including but not limited to initial interest confusion. LAMONICA'S Packaging is further likely to cause consumer confusion with BUMBLE BEE and the Bumble Bee Trade Dress. Consumers are likely to be misled that LAMONICA'S products are licensed by, sponsored by or otherwise affiliated with BUMBLE BEE.
  - 16. Both the Bumble Bee Trade Dress and LAMONICA'S Packaging appear in the

same types of stores, are marketed, distributed and sold in the same channels of commerce and are sold to nearly identical consumers.

17. On information and belief, LAMONICA was aware of the Bumble Bee Trade

Dress and adopted its packaging to willfully cause consumer confusion in the marketplace with
the Bumble Bee Trade Dress and trade off the considerable goodwill of BUMBLE BEE and

BUMBLE BEE'S well known products.

#### **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

#### First Claim for Relief

#### (False Designation of Origin and Trademark Infringement under the Lanham Act)

- 18. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the statements and allegations in paragraphs 1 to 17 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 19. Defendant's unauthorized use of the Bumble Bee Trade Dress causes a likelihood of confusion among consumers and falsely indicates to consumers that defendant's goods and services originate from, are approved by, are sponsored by, are licensed by, or are affiliated with plaintiff or plaintiff's goods and services.
- 20. Defendant's unauthorized use of the Bumble Bee Trade Dress as described herein is likely to cause confusion, to cause mistake, or to deceive customers and potential customers of the parties by suggesting some affiliation, connection, or association of defendant with plaintiff. On information and belief, defendant either intentionally induced, caused, or materially contributed to others infringing the Bumble Bee Trade Dress or continued to produce or distribute a product knowing or having reason to know the product infringed plaintiff's Bumble Bee Trade Dress. Further on information and belief, defendant had the right, authority, and ability to control others infringing Bumble Bee Trade Dress and received a financial benefit of the infringement.
- 21. Defendant's actions, as set forth herein, constitute false designation of origin and trademark infringement in violation of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125, and are deliberate, willful infringement, egregious in nature with blatant and callous disregard for the rights of plaintiff.

1	
1	

#### 3

### 4 5

### 6

## 7

### 8

### 9 10

#### 11

### 12

### 13

### 14

#### 15

### 16

#### 17

#### 18

#### 19

### 20

### 21 22

#### 23

### 24

#### 25

#### 26

### 27 28

#### Second Claim for Relief

#### (Unfair Competition Under the Lanham Act)

- 22. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the statements and allegations in paragraphs 1 to 21 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. Defendant's unauthorized use of the Bumble Bee Trade Dress misleads consumers into believing that they are purchasing products authorized by plaintiff, when in fact they are not. If consumers were aware that defendant's products were not in fact authorized by plaintiff, the consumers would not have likely purchased defendant's products. Plaintiff is harmed by this unauthorized diversion of sales, as there is a likelihood of confusion.
- 24. The Bumble Bee Trade Dress is inherently distinctive and/or has acquired secondary meaning. Defendant's use of the Bumble Bee Trade Dress in commercial advertising is deceptive, misleading, a false designation, and has a tendency to deceive a substantial portion of the intended audience, and is material in that it is likely to influence purchasing decisions, given the fact that advertising travels in interstate commerce.
- 25. Defendant's actions, as set forth herein, constitute unfair competition in violation of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125, all to the damage of plaintiff as previously alleged.

#### **Third Claim for Relief**

#### (Unfair Competition – California Business and Professions Code)

- 26. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the statements and allegations in paragraphs 1 to 25 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 27. Defendant's acts, as set forth herein, constitute unfair competition under California Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq., all to the damage of plaintiff as previously alleged.

#### Fourth Claim for Relief

#### (Trademark Infringement and Unfair Competition - California Common Law)

28. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference the statements and allegations in paragraphs 1 to 27 of the Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

29. Defendant's acts, as set forth herein, constitute trademark infringement and unfair competition under the common law of the State of California, all to the damage of plaintiff as previously alleged.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, plaintiff asks that the court grant judgment for plaintiff and against defendant for the following:

- A. Defendant, their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, be temporarily restrained, and preliminarily and permanently enjoined from their continuing wrongful acts, including but not limited to the following:
  - i. Using the Bumble Bee Trade Dress or any other confusingly similar designation, in connection with any products.
  - ii. Competing unfairly with plaintiff in any manner, including unlawfully adopting or infringing on the Bumble Bee Trade Dress or adopting or using any other marks or designations that are confusingly similar to the Bumble Bee Trade Dress.
  - iii. Conspiring with, aiding, assisting, or abetting any other person or entity in engaging in or performing any of the activities referred to in subparagraphs (i) and (ii) above.
- B. Defendant, its officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of them, deliver for destruction, or show proof of destruction of, any and all products, labels, signs, prints, packages, wrappers, receptacles, and advertisements, and any other materials in their possession or control that depict or reference the Bumble Bee Trade Dress or any other confusingly or substantially similar trademark, and any materials or articles used for making or reproducing the same, as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1118.
- C. Defendant file with the court and serve on plaintiff, within 30 days after the entry and service on defendant of an injunction, a report in writing and attested to under penalty of perjury setting forth in detail the manner and form in which defendant has complied

1	with the provisions of subparagraphs (A) and (B) above.					
2	D.	Plaintiff recover all damages it has sustained as a result of defendant's				
3	acts and omissions.					
4	E.	An accounting be directed to determine defendant's profits resulting from				
5	their acts and o	their acts and omissions, and that the profits be paid over to plaintiff, increased as the court				
6	determines is appropriate to the circumstances of this case.					
7	F.	F. The Court declare this case an exceptional case and award plaintiff its				
8	reasonable attorneys' fees for prosecuting this action.					
9	G. Plaintiff be awarded statutory damages to the full extent allowed by law.					
10	H.	H. Plaintiff be awarded enhanced damages to the full extent allowed by law.				
11	I. Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages pursuant to California common					
12	law, Business and Professions Code § 14250, or any other relevant statute.					
13	J.	J. Plaintiff receive all other relief the court deems appropriate.				
14	K.	K. Plaintiff recover its costs of this action and pre-judgment and post-				
15	judgment interest to the full extent allowed by law.					
16	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
17	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by the jury on its claims herein and all issues and claim					
18	so triable in this action.					
19						
20		Respectfully submitted,				
21	Dated: July 27, 2	MANDOUR & ASSOCIATES, APC				
22						
23		s/Ben T. Lila Ben T. Lila (SBN 246808)				
24		blila@mandourlaw.com				
25		Attorneys for plaintiff, BUMBLE BEE FOODS, LLC				
26						
27						
28						

В

### Case 3:11-cv-01662-BTM-PORC OVER SHEET Filed 07/27/11 Page 8 of 8

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil deelect sheet.

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	·	DEFENDANTS			
BUMBLE BEE FOODS,	LLC, a Deleware Limited Liability Cor				
	of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego, CA  XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence of NOTE: IN LAN			
	e, Address, and Telephone Number) ATES, APC, 16870 W. Bernardo Drive 127, (858) 487-9300	Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISE	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	PRINCIPAL PARTIES		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		TF DEF 1 1		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		1 2	Another State	
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	1 3	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 700 Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal □ 370 Other Personal □ 370 Other Personal □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 380 Other Personal □ 380 Other Personal □ 380 Other Personal □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 362 Personal Injury - PERSONAL PROPER □ 370 Other Fraud □ 370 Other Personal □ 380 Other Personal □ 380 Other Personal □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 380 Other Personal □ 380 Other Personal □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 362 Personal Injury - □ 368 Asbestos Persona	10 Agriculture	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent  ■ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
▼1 Original □ 2 Re	ate Court Appellate Court	Reopened anoth (speci			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you as 15 U.S.C. § 1051, et seq.  Brief description of cause:  Trademark Infringement	re ming (Do not cite jurisdiction	at statutes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 07/21/2011	signature of at s/Ben T. Lila	TORNEY OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY  RECEIPT # A	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE_	